

# Tentamen Toegepaste Logica

19 april 2002

**Answers may be given in Dutch or English. Good luck!**

## Exercise 1.

- a. Show that the formula  $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  is a tautology of first-order minimal propositional logic. (Give a proof in natural deduction with all assumptions canceled.)  
(5 points)
- b. Give the (formal) type derivation in simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus corresponding to the proof of 1a.  
(5 points)
- c. Give an inhabitant of the type  $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  different from the term found as answer to 1b.  
(5 points)
- d. Give a natural deduction proof of  $A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$  with a detour, and with all assumptions canceled.  
(5 points)

## Exercise 2.

- a. Give a derivation in sequent calculus of  
 $\vdash ((A \rightarrow C) \vee (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C)$   
(5 points)
- b. For first-order propositional sequent calculus in PVS, we use two commands: **flatten**, corresponding to the rules of the sequent calculus where reading upwards a sequent is transformed into one sequent, and **split**, corresponding to the rules of the sequent calculus where reading upwards a sequent is transformed into two sequents.

Give the proof tree for the PVS derivation of  
 $\vdash ((A \rightarrow C) \vee (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C)$

(You may give a refinement of the PVS proof tree, using more steps where PVS uses only one step.)

(5 points)

- c. In PVS specifications can be written using the axiomatic and the definitional approach. Explain the two approaches and explain a drawback of each of them.

(5 points)

- d. In PVS all functions are total. What is a way out if we want to represent a partial function? Give a drawback of this approach.

(5 points)

### Exercise 3.

- a. A feature of Coq is program extraction. Explain briefly the general principle of program extraction.

(5 points)

- b. Give an example of a formula that is a tautology in classical logic but not in intuitionistic logic.

(5 points)

### Exercise 4.

- a. Give the two kinds of detours in first-order predicate logic.

(5 points)

- b. Show that the formula  $((\exists x. P(x)) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \forall x. (P(x) \rightarrow B)$  is a tautology of first-order predicate logic. (Give a derivation in (intuitionistic) natural deduction with all assumptions canceled.)

(5 points)

### Exercise 5.

- a. Give the definition of the inductive type `natlist` of finite lists of natural numbers. (The type of natural numbers is `nat`.)

(5 points)

- b. What is the type of a predicate on `natlist`? (A predicate is a propositional function.)

(5 points)

- c. Let `polylist` be the inductive type of polymorphic lists with constructors `polynil` and `polycons`.

What is the type of `polynil`? And what is the type of `polycons`?

(5 points)

**Exercise 6.** This exercise is concerned with  $\lambda 2$ , the polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus.

- a. Give the Curry-Howard-de Bruijn correspondence between formulas in second-order propositional logic on the one hand and types of the polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus on the other hand.

(5 points)

- b. Suppose that we have  $M : \Pi a : \text{Set}. a$ . Show that for any type  $A : \text{Set}$  there is a term of type  $A$ .

(5 points)

- c. The function  $\text{Pair} = \lambda n:\text{nat}. \lambda m:\text{nat}. \lambda z:\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat}. z \text{ n m}$  is the pairing function on natural numbers: given two inputs which are natural numbers it forms a pair of them.

Give the polymorphic version of this function, where the two elements of the pair have the same type.

(5 points)

*The final note is (the total amount of points plus 10) divided by 10.*